## JAMA | Review

## Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease A Review

John Maret-Ouda, MD, PhD; Sheraz R. Markar, MD, PhD; Jesper Lagergren, MD, PhD

**IMPORTANCE** Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is defined by recurrent and troublesome heartburn and regurgitation or GERD-specific complications and affects approximately 20% of the adult population in high-income countries.

**OBSERVATIONS** GERD can influence patients' health-related quality of life and is associated with an increased risk of esophagitis, esophageal strictures, Barrett esophagus, and esophageal adenocarcinoma. Obesity, tobacco smoking, and genetic predisposition increase the risk of developing GERD. Typical GERD symptoms are often sufficient to determine the diagnosis, but less common symptoms and signs, such as dysphagia and chronic cough, may occur. Patients with typical GERD symptoms can be medicated empirically with a proton pump inhibitor (PPI). Among patients who do not respond to such treatment or if the diagnosis is unclear, endoscopy, esophageal manometry, and esophageal pH monitoring are recommended. Patients with GERD symptoms combined with warning symptoms of malignancy (eg, dysphagia, weight loss, bleeding) and those with other main risk factors for esophageal adenocarcinoma, such as older age, male sex, and obesity, should undergo endoscopy. Lifestyle changes, medication, and surgery are the main treatment options for GERD. Weight loss and smoking cessation are often useful. Medication with a PPI is the most common treatment, and after initial full-dose therapy, which usually is omeprazole 20 mg once daily, the aim is to use the lowest effective dose. Observational studies have suggested several adverse effects after long-term PPI, but these findings need to be confirmed before influencing clinical decision making. Surgery with laparoscopic fundoplication is an invasive treatment alternative in select patients after thorough and objective assessments, particularly if they are young and healthy. Endoscopic and less invasive surgical techniques are emerging, which may reduce the use of long-term PPI and fundoplication, but the long-term safety and efficacy remain to be scientifically established.

**CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE** The clinical management of GERD influences the lives of many individuals and is responsible for substantial consumption of health care and societal resources. Treatments include lifestyle modification, PPI medication, and laparoscopic fundoplication. New endoscopic and less invasive surgical procedures are evolving. PPI use remains the dominant treatment, but long-term therapy requires follow-up and reevaluation for potential adverse effects.

JAMA. 2020;324(24):2536-2547. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.21360

JAMA Patient Page page 2565

CME Quiz at jamacmelookup.com and CME Questions page 2552

Author Affiliations: Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery, Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, and Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden (Maret-Ouda, Markar, Lagergren); Centre for Clinical Research Sormland, Uppsala University, Eskilstuna, Sweden (Maret-Ouda); Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom (Markar); School of Cancer and Pharmaceutical Sciences, King's College London, London, United Kingdom (Lagergren).

Corresponding Author: Jesper Lagergren, MD, PhD, Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery, Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Retzius St 13a, Karolinska Institutet, 171 77 Stockholm, Sweden (jesper. lagergren@ki.se).

**Section Editors:** Edward Livingston, MD, Deputy Editor, and Mary McGrae McDermott, MD, Deputy Editor.

astroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is defined by its cardinal symptoms (recurrent and troublesome heartburn and regurgitation) or by its specific complications (esophagitis, peptic strictures, and Barrett esophagus). Barrett esophagus is a columnar metaplasia replacing parts of the native squamous cell epithelium that can progress to esophageal adenocarcinoma. GERD can be a serious problem and should not be confused with less severe disease such as gastritis or the very common symptoms of dyspepsia or regurgitation that occur in almost all individuals without any underlying gastrointestinal pathology. GERD is caused by gastric contents' reaching the esophagus. Except for causing esophageal symptoms or complications, gastric juices can also reach more proximally (ie, into the pharynx, mouth, larynx, and airways) and

cause or worsen various extraesophageal symptoms and conditions such as hoarseness, wheezing, cough, and asthma. <sup>1</sup> Established risk factors for developing GERD include increased body mass index, tobacco smoking, and genetic predisposition, <sup>3</sup> whereas infection with the gastric bacterium *Helicobacter pylori* can decrease this risk. <sup>4</sup> The prevalence of GERD is high and increasing, with greater rates in high-income countries (15%-25%) than in most low- and middle-income countries (<10%). <sup>2,5</sup> GERD can result in diminished health-related quality of life, and its prevalence and need for long-term treatment can consume substantial health care resources and result in high costs to society. <sup>6,7</sup> This review provides an update of the current evidence regarding GERD, with an emphasis on its clinical management in adults.

2536

## Methods

A literature search was conducted with PubMed and Cochrane databases for English-language studies from January 1, 2015, until September 15, 2020. The search terms were *gastroesophageal reflux disease* and associated diseases and conditions, focusing on clinical management of GERD. The bibliographies of the retrieved articles were manually searched for additional relevant studies. Emphasis was given to the selection of randomized clinical trials (RCTs), systematic reviews, meta-analyses, clinical practice guidelines, and large cohort studies. In accordance with these search criteria, a total of 113 reports were included and form the basis of this review, including 9 RCTs, 23 systematic reviews and meta-analyses, and 7 clinical practice guidelines.

## Observations

### **Pathophysiology**

GERD involves dysfunction in the esophagogastric junction barrier, including loss of effective lower esophageal sphincter function, allowing increased regurgitation of acidic gastric contents into the esophagus. Transient lower esophageal sphincter relaxation is a normal physiologic response to gastric distention that facilitates belching, but can contribute to GERD if the relaxations are frequent and prolonged. A sliding hiatal hernia (ie, in which a portion of the proximal stomach has herniated through the diaphragm and is located in the thoracic cavity) is a common anatomic configuration that facilitates reflux by increasing the angulation between the gastroesophageal junction and the gastric fundus, reducing the valve function.

## Occurrence

A recent meta-analysis of 79 studies from 36 countries found an overall prevalence of GERD in adults of 13.3% (95% CI, 12.0%-14.6%), with higher rates than average in South Asia (22.1%; 95% CI, 11.5%-35.0%), Central America (19.6%; 95% CI, 16.2%-23.4%), South America (17.6%; 95% CI, 11.0%-25.3%), Europe (17.1%; 95% CI, 15.1%-19.1%), and North America (15.4%; 95% CI, 10.7%-20.9%).<sup>3,5</sup> The prevalence of GERD is age dependent. Nearly 50% of newborn infants regurgitate or vomit daily, but this resolves spontaneously in 90% of children by aged 1 year. 10 After that, the prevalence of GERD again increases with age, and by adolescence, its prevalence approaches that of adults. 10 In adults, the prevalence further increases with older age, and a metaanalysis of 19 studies found a prevalence of 14.0% (95% CI, 9.9%-18.7%) among individuals younger than 50 years and 17.3% (95% CI, 13.3%-21.7%) among those aged 50 years or older, resulting in an odds ratio (OR) of 1.32 (95% CI, 1.12-1.54).<sup>3</sup> A pooled analysis of 70 studies from various global regions found that women had slightly higher rates of GERD than men, with a pooled prevalence of 16.7% among women (95% CI, 14.9%-18.6%) and 15.4% among men (95% CI, 13.5%-17.4%), corresponding to an OR of 1.13 (95% CI, 1.05-1.21); however, no such sex difference was found in pooled analyses when restricted to studies from North America, Europe, South Asia, or Australasia.<sup>3</sup>

### **Etiology**

jama.com

Increasing body mass index from normal to obese is associated with increased risk of developing GERD.  $^{11}$  A recent meta-analysis of 22

Table 1. Differential Diagnoses to Be Considered in the Evaluation of a Patient With Suspected Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease

Differential diagnosis	Main symptoms	Main diagnostic tool
Coronary heart disease	Chest pain, particularly when triggered by effort	ECG, blood tests such as for troponin level, exercise stress test with ECG
Gastrointestinal malignancy	Eating difficulties, weight loss, vomiting	Endoscopy
Peptic ulcer disease	Epigastric pain, nausea, vomiting	Endoscopy
Biliary tract disease	Abdominal pain, jaundice	Ultrasonography, blood tests
Eosinophilic esophagitis	Swallowing difficulties with hooking, reflux symptoms	Endoscopy
Achalasia or other upper gastrointestinal motility disorders	Swallowing difficulties, vomiting of undigested food	Esophageal manometry

Abbreviation: ECG, electrocardiography.

studies found a prevalence of GERD of 22.1% (95% CI, 17.4%-27.2%) among obese individuals compared with 14.2% (95% CI, 10.8%-18.0%) among nonobese ones, corresponding to an OR of 1.73 (95% CI, 1.46-2.06).3 Increased intra-abdominal pressure, a higher prevalence of hiatal hernia, higher gradient of abdominal to thoracic pressure, increased levels of estrogen, and increased production of bile and pancreatic enzymes may contribute to the association between obesity and GERD.<sup>12</sup> An association between tobacco smoking and GERD is also well documented. A metaanalysis of 30 studies comparing smokers and nonsmokers showed a pooled prevalence of 19.6% among smokers (95% CI, 14.9%-24.7%) and 15.9% in nonsmokers (95% CI, 13.1%-19.0%), corresponding to an OR of 1.26 (95% CI, 1.04-1.52).3 Tobacco can prolong acid clearance time of the esophagus and reduce the pressure in the lower esophageal sphincter. 12 The third well-established risk factor is genetic predisposition. Two large studies of twins estimated that heritability accounts for 31% to 43% of the predisposition to develop GERD, <sup>13,14</sup> and some studies have indicated genetic risk factors for the development of GERD, although no single specific risk locus has yet been identified. 15,16 Infection with H pylori may prevent GERD by causing atrophy of the gastric mucosa, which can decrease the acid production of the parietal cells.<sup>4</sup> A meta-analysis of 27 studies showed that eradication of H pylori increased the risk of developing reflux esophagitis (relative risk, 1.46; 95% CI, 1.16-1.84). 17 Alcohol consumption and dietary factors might precipitate episodes of like symptoms in individuals with known GERD, but these exposures have not been associated with the development of GERD.3

### **Clinical Presentation**

The cardinal symptoms of GERD are heartburn and acid regurgitation, but chest pain is also common. <sup>18</sup> Less common symptoms, often denoted as atypical, include dysphagia, bleeding, chronic cough, asthma, chronic laryngitis, hoarseness, teeth erosions, belching, and bloating. <sup>9,18,19</sup> The differential diagnoses for these symptoms are presented in Table 1. <sup>18,20</sup> Patients with GERD symptoms combined with warning symptoms of malignancy such as progressive dysphagia, involuntary weight loss, or bleeding should undergo upper gastrointestinal endoscopy. Patients who do not respond to an empirical medical treatment trial with a proton pump

inhibitor (PPI) of standard dose once daily should also be considered for endoscopy. Endoscopy can reveal malignancy, complications of GERD (eg, erosive esophagitis, esophageal strictures, Barrett esophagus), and other explanations for the symptoms. <sup>1,21,22</sup> Patients with GERD often have reduced health-related quality of life, but this can be improved by effective treatment. <sup>7,23,24</sup> A systematic review including 9 studies of 14 774 patients showed improved health-related quality of life in patients who responded well to PPI treatment, but not in nonresponders. <sup>7</sup>

### Consequences of GERD

### Survival

A recent population-based cohort study found no increased overall all-cause or cancer-specific mortality among 4758 patients with severe GERD symptoms compared with 51381 individuals without them.<sup>25</sup>

### **Esophagitis**

The most common complication of GERD is esophagitis, an inflammation of the mucosa of the distal esophagus that causes erosions and occurs in 18% to 25% of patients with GERD symptoms.  $^{26,27}$ Erosive reflux esophagitis can be associated with typical symptoms of GERD, but may also be asymptomatic. Esophagitis is detected at endoscopy and graded according to the Los Angeles classification, which grades the extent of the mucosal erosive areas from A to D.<sup>28,29</sup> Grade A corresponds to greater than or equal to 1 erosion less than 5 mm, grade B represents greater than or equal to 1 erosion 5 mm or larger, grade C is greater than or equal to 1 erosion between the tops of 2 or more mucosal folds involving less than 75% of the circumference, and grade D is greater than or equal to 1 erosion involving 75% or more of the circumference.<sup>29</sup> Patients with esophagitis should be treated with long-term PPIs because discontinuation often leads to recurrence, but once clinically effective, the dose should be titrated to the lowest daily one tolerated.<sup>22</sup>

## Stricture

Peptic esophageal strictures can occur if the acidic exposure to the esophagus results in fibrotic scarring. The incidence of peptic strictures is 7% to 23% in untreated patients with erosive esophagitis. <sup>30</sup> Patients with esophageal stricture often present with dysphagia. The treatment includes continuous long-term PPI therapy combined with endoscopic balloon dilatation, which might need to be repeated and which successfully resolves esophageal strictures in more than 80% of patients. <sup>31</sup> Dilatation combined with injection with corticosteroids can be considered if the scarring reoccurs despite several dilatations; however, the studies supporting this approach are small, have limited follow-up, and are not definitive. <sup>31</sup>

### **Barrett Esophagus**

2538

GERD can cause Barrett esophagus, the precursor lesion to esophageal adenocarcinoma. It has been estimated that 5.6% of adults in the United States have Barrett esophagus. <sup>32,33</sup> A meta-analysis of 42 studies and 26 521 individuals with GERD found a pooled prevalence of Barrett esophagus in 7.2% (95% CI, 5.4%-9.3%), including 13.9% with dysplasia (95% CI, 8.9%-19.8%), with more than 80% of patients having low-grade dysplasia. <sup>34</sup> The absolute risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma is low in nondysplastic Barrett esophagus, but considerably higher in the presence of dysplasia. A meta-analysis of

24 studies and 2694 patients found an annual incidence rate of esophageal adenocarcinoma of 0.54% (95% CI, 0.32%-0.76%) among patients with Barrett esophagus with low-grade dysplasia, which was 1.73% (95% CI, 0.99%-2.47%) when high-grade dysplasia was added as an outcome. 35 Another meta-analysis of 20 studies and 74 943 patients with Barrett esophagus found that the main risk factors for tumor progression were older age, male sex, tobacco smoking, longer segment of the Barrett mucosa, and central obesity. <sup>36</sup> Screening for Barrett esophagus of the general adult population is not recommended, but can be considered among high-risk individuals, such as men older than 60 years with GERD.<sup>37</sup> Current guidelines recommend surveillance of individuals with known Barrett esophagus because of earlier detection of esophageal adenocarcinoma, and surveillance endoscopies are recommended every 3 to 5 years in patients without dysplasia; and if low-grade dysplasia is present, repeated surveillance endoscopy should be conducted within 6 months. 38-40 Patients with Barrett esophagus should be treated with continuous PPI treatment.38 For patients with highgrade dysplasia and in some cases low-grade dysplasia, endoscopic removal of Barrett mucosa is the recommended treatment. 40

### Esophageal Adenocarcinoma

GERD is, through development of Barrett esophagus, associated with esophageal adenocarcinoma. 41 The incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma has increased rapidly during the last 4 decades, particularly in Western countries, with a global incidence rate of 1.1 cases per 100 000 person-years among men and 0.3 per 100 000 person-years among women, 42 and less than 20% of patients survive for 5 years. 42-45 Yet, although the relative risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma is increased among patients with GERD, the absolute risk is low because of the rarity of this tumor in the population.<sup>46</sup> Whether treatment of GERD reduces the risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma is a matter of controversy. A metaanalysis of 9 observational studies and 5712 patients with Barrett esophagus did not find any statistically significant association between PPI treatment and risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma (OR, 0.43; 95% CI, 0.17-1.08).<sup>47</sup> An RCT (AspECT) that included 2557 patients with Barrett esophagus found that high-dose PPI (40 mg esomeprazole twice daily) with a median follow-up of 8.9 years did not decrease the risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma compared with low-dose PPI (20 mg esomeprazole once daily); 3.1% and 3.2% of patients developed esophageal adenocarcinoma, respectively, with a time ratio of an accelerated failure time model of 1.04 (95% CI, 0.67-1.61), although the statistical power was low.<sup>48</sup> A recent population-based study from all 5 Nordic countries of 942 071 patients with GERD who were followed up for up to 50 years found no decreased risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma after antireflux surgery (48 863 patients; median follow-up, 13.6 years; 0.3% developed esophageal adenocarcinoma) or PPI treatment (893 208 patients; median follow-up, 5.1 years; 0.5% developed esophageal adenocarcinoma) compared with that of the background population; the standardized incidence ratio among patients with more than 15 years of follow-up was 4.57 (95% CI, 3.44-5.95) after surgery and 3.07 (95% CI, 2.65-3.54) after medication.<sup>49</sup> In a meta-analysis of 10 studies,<sup>50</sup> there was no significant association between antireflux surgery or medication and reduced risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma. However, a recent cohort study from the United Kingdom, including 838 755

JAMA December 22/29, 2020 Volume 324, Number 24

Table 2. Key Statements From the Major Current Clinical Guidelines Regarding the Diagnosis and Treatment of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease<sup>a</sup>

Guideline	American Gastroenterology Association <sup>22</sup>	Society of American Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons <sup>52</sup>	National Institute for Clinical Excellence (no evidence grading available) <sup>53</sup>
Diagnosis	Montreal consensus, "a condition which develops when reflux of stomach contents causes troublesome symptoms and/or complications" (no grade)	≥1 of the following conditions exists: a mucosal break observed on endoscopy in a patient with typical symptoms, Barrett esophagus on biopsy, a peptic stricture in the absence of malignancy, or positive pH measurement (grade A)	
Investigations	GERD and dysphagia (grade B)  Endoscopy for patients with GERD who have not responded to twice-daily PPI therapy	No consensus on preoperative investigations	Patients presenting with dyspepsia together with significant acute gastrointestinal bleeding are to be referred immediately (same day) to a specialist
	(grade B)  Manometry for patients with GERD who have not responded to twice-daily PPI therapy and have normal endoscopy result (grade B)		
	Ambulatory impedance pH, catheter pH, or wireless pH monitoring for patients with GERD who have not responded to twice-daily PPI therapy and have normal endoscopy and manometry results (grade B)		
advice	Weight loss advised for overweight or obese patients with GERD (grade B)		Offer simple lifestyle advice, including advice on healthy eating, weight reduction, and smoking cessation
	Elevation of head of bed for select patients (grade B)		Recognize that psychological therapies, such as cognitive behavioral therapy and psychotherapy, may reduce dyspeptic symptoms in the short term
Medical treatment	PPIs are more effective than histamine <sub>2</sub> receptor antagonists, which are more effective than placebo (grade A)		Offer patients with GERD a full-dose PPI for 4 or 8 wk
	Twice-daily PPI therapy for patients with inadequate response to once-daily therapy (grade B)		Offer histamine <sub>2</sub> receptor antagonist therapy if there is an inadequate response to a PPI
intervention	When antireflux surgery and PPI therapy are judged to offer similar efficacy, PPI therapy should be recommended owing to better safety (grade A)  When a patient with GERD is responsive to but intolerant of acid-suppression therapy, antireflux surgery should be recommended (grade A)	Surgical therapy for GERD is an equally effective alternative to medical therapy and should be offered to appropriately selected patients by appropriately skilled surgeons (grade A)  Surgical therapy effectively addresses the	Consider laparoscopic fundoplication for patients who have a confirmed diagnosis of acid reflux and adequate symptom control with decuate symptom therapy, but who do not wish to continue with this therapy long term
		mechanical issues associated with the disease and results in long-term patient satisfaction (grade A)	a confirmed diagnosis of acid reflux and symptoms that are responding to a PPI, but who cannot tolerate acid-suppression therapy
		Laparoscopic fundoplication should be preferred over its open alternative because it is associated with superior early outcomes and no difference in late outcomes (grade A)	

Abbreviations: GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; PPI, proton pump inhibitor.

patients with GERD, found a decreased risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma in the 22 321 who underwent antireflux surgery (hazard ratio, 0.64; 95% CI, 0.52-0.78).<sup>51</sup> Although current evidence is limited, it is reasonable to offer antireflux therapy to patients with Barrett esophagus irrespective of their symptoms, whereas the treatment of GERD in patients without it should be directed at controlling GERD symptoms either medically or surgically according to what a patient prefers.

## **Assessment and Diagnosis**

Table 2 summarizes major guidelines regarding the diagnosis and treatment of GERD, and a proposed clinical management algorithm for patients with suspected GERD is shown in the Figure. A thorough medical history can help determine the differential diagnoses for patients presenting with GERD-like symptoms (Table 1). Symptoms resembling GERD are common and are not always caused by it. <sup>1,18,54</sup> In patients with a history of chest pain, especially if it is of sudden onset or is related to physical activity, cardiac pathology

should be suspected and evaluated with electrocardiography, laboratory tests including troponin level, and exercise stress test with electrocardiography. In patients with typical heartburn and acid regurgitation, a presumptive diagnosis of GERD can be made and a trial treatment with a PPI initiated. Endoscopy, esophageal manometry, and esophageal pH monitoring are indicated if the patient does not respond to empirical PPI treatment and the diagnosis of GERD remains likely but needs to be further investigated to rule out other possible causes for the symptoms (Table 1). 18,55 An international consensus evaluated diagnostic tests for GERD and concluded that esophagitis grade C or D according to the Los Angeles classification system of erosive esophagitis (≥1 erosion between the tops of 2 or more mucosal folds engaging <75% of the circumference or 1 or more erosions involving ≥75% of the circumference), Barrett esophagus, or peptic strictures on endoscopy establish a diagnosis of GERD, as does acid exposure time of more than 6% during pH monitoring, whereas acid exposure time of less than 4% or fewer than 40 reflux episodes observed during 24-hour pH monitoring suggest that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Grade of evidence is provided when available.

Figure. Proposed Assessment and Management of Patients With Signs and Symptoms Indicating Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease

Clinical presentation Signs and symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD)

### **Typical GERD:**

Recurrent heartburn and acid regurgitation, chest pain, esophagitis, peptic strictures, Barrett esophagus

### **Extraesophageal GERD:**

Hoarseness, wheezing, chronic cough, asthma, chronic laryngitis, teeth erosions, dyspepsia, belching, bloating

# Assessment ind diagnosi

- Patient history and physical examination to rule out differential diagnoses (see Table 1)
- 2 Proton pump inhibitor (PPI) trial to confirm diagnosis
- 3 Endoscopy, esophageal manometry, and pH monitoring if there is no response to PPI trial and GERD diagnosis remains likely
- Patient history and physical examination to rule out differential diagnoses (see Table 1)
  - Patients with or without concomitant typical GERD symptoms
  - Careful investigation for non-GERD causes
- 2 pH monitoring should be considered if diagnosis is unclear, especially if there are no concomitant typical GERD symptoms

## =

- 1) Lifestyle modifications
  - Weight loss, smoking cessation, and elevation of head of bed
- PPI treatment once daily for 4-8 weeks
  - If poor response, consider altering dosage, timing, or initiating twice daily treatment
  - If adequate response, change to PPI as needed
- 3 Antireflux surgery can be considered for patients who cannot tolerate PPI treatment
- PPI treatment trial once daily for up to 8 weeks for patients with concomitant typical GERD symptoms
  - If adequate response, titrate to lowest dose tolerated
- ② Antireflux surgery should not be considered for patients who do not respond to PPI treatment
- 3 Antireflux surgery can be considered for patients who cannot tolerate PPI treatment

llow-up

- ▶ If good response to PPI treatment, attempt to stop or lower dosage
- ▶ If esophagitis or Barrett esophagus is present, continue PPI treatment at the lowest dose tolerated
- ► If treatment failure or alarm symptoms (dysphagia, involuntary weight loss) occur, perform urgent endoscopy
- If no response to PPI, perform esophageal manometry and endoscopy to assess esophageal motor disorders and lower esophageal sphincter function
- ▶ If no response to PPI, continue pH monitoring and perform endoscopy to confirm pathologic pH exposure

- ▶ If good response to PPI treatment, attempt to stop or lower dosage
- ► If suspected extraesophageal symptoms persist with no typical GERD symptoms, pH monitoring should be considered
- If no response to PPI, consider further diagnostics
- If treatment failure or alarm symptoms (dysphagia, involuntary weight loss) occur, perform urgent endoscopy

Adapted from Katz et al<sup>18</sup> and Spechler.<sup>54</sup>

Table 3. Potency Between Different Proton Pump Inhibitors According to Omeprazole Equivalents

Drug at lowest available dosage, mg	Omeprazole equivalent, mg
Pantoprazole, 20	4.5
Lansoprazole, 15	13.5
Omeprazole, 20	20
Esomeprazole, 20	32
Rabeprazole, 20	36

Based on data from Graham and Tansel. 65

ommended, whereas alkaline water and a Mediterranean diet can be beneficial. 18,22,59

## Medication PPLUSE is t

PPI use is the most effective pharmacologic treatment of GERD symptoms and healing of erosive esophagitis. 18,22 PPIs irreversibly inhibit hydrogen-potassium ATPase in the parietal cells of the stomach, reducing the acidity of the gastric contents, and usually alleviate GERD symptoms. PPI is one of the most commonly prescribed medications, used by an estimated 7% to 9% of all adults worldwide and by more than 20% of those aged 65 years or older. 60-64 A meta-analysis found no differences in effectiveness of acid suppression when comparing equivalent doses of different types of PPIs (Table 3), indicating that these can be used interchangeably if the dose is adjusted accordingly. 65 Current clinical guidelines support an initial trial treatment period of once-daily PPI of standard dose for 4 weeks in patients with typical GERD symptoms 18,22 and a treatment period of 8 weeks for healing of endoscopy-verified erosive esophagitis. 18 If this treatment is successful, the patient should receive PPI of the lowest effective maintenance dose, provided that continued medication is considered necessary for a longer period. 18,22 Patients with typical GERD symptoms can often begin receiving on-demand or intermittent PPI treatment, whereas those with known esophagitis or Barrett

GERD is not present and other diagnoses should be considered (Table 1).<sup>56</sup> There is no need for blood tests in the primary evaluation of GERD.

### **Treatment**

2540

### Lifestyle Changes

Lifestyle changes can reduce GERD symptoms, primarily weight loss in obese patients and tobacco smoking cessation in smokers. <sup>18,22,57,58</sup> In the presence of nocturnal GERD, particularly regurgitation, elevation of the head of the bed and avoiding late meals are recommended. <sup>18,22</sup> Exclusion of food items that patients report trigger symptoms of GERD (eg, alcohol, spicy food, chocolate) is rec-

JAMA December 22/29, 2020 Volume 324, Number 24

esophagus should continue once-daily PPI even in the absence of symptoms because of the risk of recurrence of esophagitis or tumor progression, respectively.<sup>22</sup> A large portion of patients use PPIs for considerably longer periods than recommended by current guidelines.<sup>60,66,67</sup> The American Gastroenterological Association recommends that patients with uncomplicated GERD receive PPI for 4 to 8 weeks and thereafter attempt to stop or reduce the dose; if this is not possible because of recurrence of symptoms, pH and impedance monitoring is recommended to distinguish GERD from a functional syndrome.<sup>68</sup> PPI treatment is considered safe for pregnant patients, with pantoprazole, lansoprazole, rabeprazole, and dexlansoprazole graded B (no evidence for risk in humans), but omeprazole and esomeprazole graded C (risk cannot be ruled out).<sup>18,69,70</sup>

Patients with GERD-like symptoms who do not have adequate relief after a 4- to 8-week trial of PPI treatment should be evaluated for adherence to medical therapy that might explain their lack of response or undergo further testing to establish a diagnosis of GERD. A GERD evaluation might include a more detailed and objective evaluation of the upper gastrointestinal tract with endoscopy, manometry, and pH measurement. 18 If these examinations yield diagnoses of GERD and the symptoms persist, twice-daily PPI dosing can be initiated. This increases the time that the gastric pH is greater than 4 and may thus more effectively reduce GERD symptoms. <sup>22,65</sup> Patients with extraesophageal symptoms such as cough or hoarseness combined with typical symptoms of GERD should be given an initial trial of PPI as described earlier. If the cough or hoarseness is not associated with typical GERD symptoms yet GERD is suspected as causing them, pH monitoring should be obtained to establish a diagnosis of GERD. Ambulatory esophageal pH monitoring can be used to assess the proportion of time with esophageal pH less than 4, and to correlate objective measures of reflux and the experience of symptoms. According to the results, GERD can be confirmed or other diagnoses such as functional heartburn revealed. Functional heartburn is diagnosed when a patient has GERD-like symptoms but objective assessments of GERD do not establish its presence.<sup>71</sup> Manometry evaluates esophageal motor function and is used for patients with persistent symptoms despite adequate treatment or for preoperative surgical planning.<sup>55</sup>

Emerging research suggests that long-term PPI treatment might be associated with adverse events or complications, including kidney diseases, certain infections, osteoporosis, and gastric cancer (Table 4). Among proposed adverse events are chronic kidney disease and acute kidney injury, and the evidence to date supports that patients with kidney disease who require long-term PPI treatment should have their kidney function monitored. 73,74 Studies have also indicated an increased risk of Clostridium difficile infection and community-acquired pneumonia after long-term PPI treatment. 72,75,76 In accordance with the data available, the Food and Drug Administration issued a safety announcement regarding C difficile infection, urging patients to seek health care if they experience continual diarrhea during PPI therapy, whereas the evidence regarding the risk for developing community-acquired pneumonia is insufficient for clinical recommendations.<sup>83</sup> Recent studies have identified long-term PPI treatment as a potential risk factor for osteoporosis, 77 and the Food and Drug Administration has posted a drug safety communication urging health care professionals to consider this risk before starting high-dose and long-term PPI

#### Questions for Clinicians

### How does gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) present?

Patients with GERD typically present with a burning retrosternal pain or regurgitation of gastric contents, but can also present with extraesophageal symptoms such as chronic cough, wheezing, asthma, or chronic laryngitis. Other atypical symptoms are dyspepsia, nausea, bloating, and belching.

## What does the typical evaluation of a patient with suspected GERD include?

The evaluation begins with a thorough patient history. It is important to identify any chest pain caused by cardiac disease. Patients who present with dysphagia and weight loss solely or in combination with GERD symptoms should be investigated for upper gastrointestinal malignancies. If a diagnosis of GERD is probable, empirical treatment with a PPI can be tried to determine whether the symptoms resolve. If uncertainties remain about the GERD diagnosis, patients should be evaluated with endoscopy, pH monitoring, and esophageal manometry.

### What are the main treatment options?

Most patients are successfully treated with a PPI, starting with a 4-week period of once-daily dosing. If esophagitis is present, an initial treatment duration of 8 weeks is recommended. After the initial treatment period, the medication is reduced or stopped. If known esophagitis or Barrett esophagitis is present, continuous medication with a PPI in the lowest dose tolerated to control symptoms is recommended. Surgery with laparoscopic fundoplication may be considered in select cases.

## Are there adverse events associated with long-term treatment using PPI?

Some evidence has suggested a risk of adverse events after long-term PPI treatment, including kidney disease and injury, *Clostridium difficile* infection, community-acquired pneumonia, fractures owing to osteoporosis, and gastric cancer. But current evidence about the complications of long-term PPI use is not definitive enough to recommend stopping an ongoing necessary treatment or avoid initiating treatment if clinically indicated.

### What are the long-term consequences of GERD?

Long-term GERD can lead to esophagitis and strictures of the esophagus because of acidic exposure. GERD increases the risk of developing a metaplasia of the epithelium of the esophagus, known as Barrett esophagus, which in turn is associated with an increased risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma. Yet a very low number of patients with GERD develop esophageal adenocarcinoma during their lifetime.

treatment.<sup>84</sup> Recent research also suggests that long-term PPI-treatment increases the risk of gastric cancer, with a proposed mechanism of hypergastrinemia leading to hyperproliferation of the gastric mucosa, but the absolute risk is still low because of low population incidence of gastric cancer.<sup>78-81</sup> Most of the evidence regarding PPI and adverse events is based on observational studies in which residual confounding cannot be excluded. An RCT that included 17 598 patients did not show any statistically significantly increased risks of adverse events, but the follow-up was short (median, 3.1 years) and the statistical power low. Taken together, the long-term consequences of PPI treatment remain uncertain.

Long-term PPI treatment may cause rebound acid hypersecretion when the treatment is discontinued, which may be related to

Table 4 Studies	According the Dick of /	Advarca Evanta Aftar 7	Treatment With Proton P	ump Inhibitore

	0				
Source	Study design	No. of participants	Risk estimate, OR (95% CI)	Comments	Evidence level <sup>a</sup>
Chronic kidney disease					
Moayyedi et al, <sup>72</sup> 2019	RCT	17 598	1.17 (0.94-1.45)		1B
Nochaiwong et al, <sup>73</sup> 2018	Meta-analysis	689 953	RR, 1.36 (1.07-1.72)	Based on 4 cohort studies, high degree of heterogeneity	2A
Hart et al, <sup>74</sup> 2019	Population-based cohort study	84 600	1.20 (1.12-1.28)		2B
Acute kidney injury					
Nochaiwong et al, <sup>73</sup> 2018	Meta-analysis	2 140 913	RR, 1.44 (1.08-1.91)	Based on 5 cohort studies, high degree of heterogeneity	2A
Hart et al, <sup>74</sup> 2019	Population-based cohort study	93 335	4.35 (3.14-6.04)		2B
Acute interstitial nephritis					
Nochaiwong et al, <sup>73</sup> 2018	Meta-analysis	585 296	3.61 (2.37-5.51)	Based on 2 case-control studies and 1 cohort study, low degree of heterogeneity	3A
Clostridium difficile infection					
Moayyedi et al, <sup>72</sup> 2019	RCT	17 598	2.26 (0.70-7.34)	Few cases among both exposed and unexposed patients	1B
Cao et al, <sup>75</sup> 2018	Meta-analysis	342 532	1.26 (1.12-1.39)	Based on 36 case-control studies and 14 cohort studies, high degree of heterogeneity	3A
Community-acquired pneumonia					
Moayyedi et al, <sup>72</sup> 2019	RCT	17 598	1.02 (0.87-1.19)		1B
Nguyen et al, <sup>76</sup> 2020	Meta-analysis	967 279	1.86 (1.30-2.66)	Based on 7 case-control studies, high degree of heterogeneity	3A
Fractures					
Moayyedi et al, <sup>72</sup> 2019	RCT	17 598	0.96 (0.79-1.17)		1B
Mortensen et al, <sup>77</sup> 2020	Meta-analysis	352 008	1.41 (1.16-1.71)	Based on 3 case-control studies, 1 cohort study, and 1 cross-sectional study; high degree of heterogeneity	3A
Gastric cancer					
Brusselaers et al, <sup>78</sup> 2019	Population-based cohort study	796 425	SIR, 1.31 (1.12-1.53)		2B
Cheung et al, 2018 <sup>79</sup>	Cohort study	63 397	HR, 2.44 (1.42-4.20)		2B
Liu et al, <sup>80</sup> 2020	Case-control study	6513	1.13 (0.91-1.40)		3B
Liu et al, <sup>80</sup> 2020	Cohort study	472 029	HR, 1.15 (0.73-1.82)		2B
Lee et al, <sup>81</sup> 2020	Case-control study	6491	1.07 (0.81-1.42)		3B

Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; OR, odds ratio; RCT, randomized clinical trial; RR, risk ratio; SIR, standardized incidence ratio.

<sup>a</sup> Evidence level according to the Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine.82 Evidence level 1A: systematic review of RCTs. Evidence level 1B: individual RCT. Evidence level 1C: all or none, met when all patients died before the prescription became available but some now survive while receiving it, or when some patients died before the prescription became available but none now die while receiving it. Evidence level 2A: systematic review of cohort studies. Evidence level 2B: individual cohort study. Evidence level 2C: "outcomes" research or ecologic studies. Evidence level 3A: systematic review of case-control studies. Evidence level 3B: individual case-control study. Evidence level 4: case series (and poor-quality cohort and case-control studies). Evidence level 5: expert opinion without explicit critical appraisal, or based on physiology, bench research, or "first principles."

the high levels of gastrin after the treatment, which stimulates gastric acid production.  $^{85}$  This problem can be avoided or reduced by gradually tapering the PPI-dose before stopping it.  $^{85,86}$ 

An alternative to PPI as maintenance therapy for GERD is histamine<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonists, the GERD medication of choice before the introduction of PPIs. <sup>18,22</sup> Histamine<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonists block the histamine receptors in the parietal cells of the stomach, thereby reducing the production of acid and often offering reasonable symptom control. <sup>87</sup> Recent analyses, however, found high levels of the probable human carcinogen *N*-nitrosodimethylamine in

the histamine<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonist ranitidine, and the levels of this impurity increased during storage. <sup>88,89</sup> Therefore, the Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency have withdrawn all formulations of ranitidine from the US and European markets, respectively. <sup>88,89</sup> Nevertheless, other types of histamine<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonists (eg, famotidine, nizatidine) are still available. <sup>88,89</sup> Another medical treatment option is antacids (eg, magnesium hydroxide), which neutralize stomach acid. Because of limited efficacy compared with PPIs and histamine<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonists, these are not included in current clinical guidelines, but can be used if

2542

patients experience good symptom relief. Recent studies have also investigated alginate as a treatment option for GERD. A meta-analysis of 14 studies (2095 patients) found that alginate relieves GERD symptoms better than antacids or placebo (OR, 4.42; 95% CI, 2.45-7.97) and has approximately the same effect as PPIs or histamine<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonists (OR, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.27-1.22).<sup>90</sup> However, more research is needed. In addition, an RCT of 280 patients found that adding a bile acid sequestrant to PPI treatment may reduce heartburn severity by another 12%.<sup>91</sup>

### Surgery

The most commonly performed surgical procedure for GERD is laparoscopic fundoplication, which enhances the esophagogastric junction's ability to prevent reflux into the esophagus. 92,93 An RCT of 456 patients found a similar effect of partial and total fundoplication for controlling GERD 3 years after surgery, with a median time with esophageal pH below 4 of 1.8% (interquartile range, 0.7%-4.4%) after partial fundoplication and 2.5% (interquartile range, 0.8%-6.8%) after total fundoplication. Partial fundoplication resulted in less dysphagia 2 years after surgery, with a mean dysphagia score of 1.3 (SD, 0.9) compared with 1.7 (SD, 1.2) for total fundoplication. 94 Before surgery is performed to treat GERD, a thorough evaluation should be performed to exclude other diagnoses that may present like GERD (Table 1). Fundoplication may be considered in select patients with low surgical risks and objectively confirmed GERD. 18,95 The preoperative evaluation should include endoscopy to rule out other mucosal pathologies such as malignancy, esophageal manometry to exclude motility disorders such as achalasia, and pH monitoring to confirm that GERD-like symptoms are indeed caused by acid reflux. A 5-year follow-up of 372 patients included in an RCT comparing the PPI esome prazole with laparoscopic fundoplication found similar remission rates in the medication group (92%; 95% CI, 89%-96%) and surgery group (85%; 95% CI, 81%-90%), but worse symptoms of acid regurgitation in the medication group (13%) compared with the surgery group (2%). 96 A Cochrane Review of 4 RCTs and 1160 patients showed better short-term GERD-specific quality of life (0.58 SDs higher [95% CI, 0.46-0.70]), less heartburn (4.2%) compared with 22.2%; risk ratio, 0.19 [95% CI, 0.1-0.34]), and fewer reflux symptoms (2.1% compared with 13.9%; risk ratio, 0.15 [95% CI, 0.06-0.35]) within 1 to 5 years after fundoplication compared with medication, whereas surgery had a higher risk of severe adverse events than medication (18.1% compared with 12.4%; risk ratio, 1.46 [95% CI, 1.01-2.11]).93

The risk of short-term mortality after laparoscopic fundoplication is low (0.1%-0.2%), <sup>97</sup> but complications can occur. In a population-based study of 2655 operated patients, 4.1% had a predefined complication within 30 days of surgery, mainly infection (1.1%), bleeding (0.9%), and iatrogenic esophageal perforation (0.9%), and the GERD recurrence rate was 17.7%. <sup>98</sup> In a Danish study of 2465 patients followed up to 9 years, 4.6% required reoperation after primary fundoplication, and a study from the United States of 13 050 patients found a 6.9% reoperation rate within 10 years of primary fundoplication. <sup>99,100</sup> A trial of 372 patients randomized to laparoscopic antireflux surgery or esomeprazole who were followed for 5 years found similar rates of GERD remission after surgery and medication. The surgery group had more dysphagia (11% compared with 5%), bloating (40% compared with 28%), and flatulence (57% compared with 40%). <sup>96</sup>

If GERD recurs after surgery, endoscopy and pH monitoring should be pursued to determine its etiology. Recurrent GERD-like symptoms after GERD surgery can be caused by the patient's not having a proper indication for the initial antireflux surgery, an incomplete preoperative evaluation, or inadequate surgical technique. <sup>101</sup>

### **Emerging Treatments**

New techniques in the treatment of GERD have been proposed as alternatives to long-term and high-dose PPI treatment or fundoplication. These techniques aim to be less invasive and reduce post-operative problems related to fundoplication. The long-term safety and efficacy of these techniques have not yet been established, and these procedures are not recommended. <sup>18,22</sup>

Ablative Endoscopic Techniques | The Stretta procedure involves application of radiofrequency energy delivered to several levels above and below the lower esophageal sphincter. <sup>102</sup> This results in thickening of the sphincter, decreased transient relaxation rate, and reduced esophageal acid exposure. <sup>103</sup> A meta-analysis of 28 studies (23 cohort studies, 4 RCTs, and 1 cohort study) including 2468 patients followed up for a mean of 25.4 months showed that Stretta improved average health-related quality of life (by 14.8 mean points) and heartburn (by 1.5 mean points), 51% of patients stopped PPI therapy, and the incidence of erosive esophagitis was reduced by 24%; however, most studies lacked a simultaneous control group and the included RCTs were small and not definitive. <sup>104</sup> To our knowledge, the risk of long-term adverse effects, specifically dysphagia rates, has yet to be reported in the literature.

Transoral Incisionless Fundoplication | Transoral incisionless fundoplication involves endoscopically suturing serosa-to-serosa plications including the muscle layers and constructs valves 3 to 5 cm long, taking up to 270° of the gastroesophageal circumference and deploying multiple nonabsorbable fasteners through the 2 layers in a circumferential pattern around the gastroesophageal junction. 105,106 In a cohort study of 49 patients, followed for up to 10 years, 8 (16%) were lost to follow-up and 7 (14%) remained unresponsive to transoral incisionless fundoplication and underwent fundoplication, but the majority of the remaining patients (92%) had stopped or reduced the use of PPI therapy. 107 An RCT of 63 patients comparing transoral incisionless fundoplication with PPI showed some short-term results favoring transoral incisionless fundoplication because at the 6-month follow-up, troublesome regurgitation was eliminated in 97% of transoral incisionless fundoplication patients vs 50% of PPI patients (risk ratio, 1.9; 95% CI, 1.2-3.1). 108 The 5-year follow-up of this trial suggested efficacy of transoral incisionless fundoplication, with only 34% of patients receiving daily PPI therapy, and showed improved mean scores for GERD-specific health-related quality of life, from 22 at baseline to 7 at 5 years. 109 However, another RCT of 60 patients showed at 12 months that, although GERD-specific health-related quality of life improved after transoral incisionless fundoplication, normalization of esophageal pH measurement was accomplished in only 29% of patients and resumption of PPI therapy occurred in 61%. 110 The discrepancy in findings between these trials may be a reflection of technical difficulty in performing transoral incisionless fundoplication or reflect a potential lack of clinical efficacy of the device. Thus, clinical use of this device cannot be recommended outside of enrollment in well-designed RCTs.

Magnetic Sphincter Augmentation | A magnetic sphincter augmentation device was introduced in 2007 as an alternative surgical procedure less invasive than laparoscopic fundoplication. 111,112 The LINX (Torax Medical Inc) type of such a device is placed around the distal esophagus and comprises titanium beads with magnets in the center that augment lower esophageal tone and thus prevent reflux. 113,114 The device is commonly placed laparoscopically and requires less dissection than laparoscopic fundoplication. 115 An RCT of 152 patients that compared magnetic sphincter augmentation (n = 50) with twice-daily PPI (n = 102) in patients with moderate to severe regurgitation despite 8 weeks of once-daily PPI therapy showed improvements in the augmentation group: 84% of the patients with augmentation reported relief of regurgitation compared with 10% in the PPI group, and 81% the of augmentation group vs 8% of PPI group had greater than 50% improvement in GERD-specific health-related quality-of-life scores after 6 months. 116 A meta-analysis of 19 observational studies and 12 697 patients showed that compared with fundoplication, magnetic sphincter augmentation conferred control equivalent to that of fundoplication, as measured by requirement for postoperative PPI therapy and GERD-specific health-related quality of life. 117 Augmentation was associated with fewer gas bloating problems (OR, 0.34; 95% CI, 0.16-0.71) and greater ability to belch (OR, 12.34; 95% CI, 6.43-23.70).<sup>117</sup> This systematic review also suggested acceptable long-term safety of the device, with reoperation required in only 3.3% of patients. There are 2 main limitations to dissemination of this technique. First, to our knowledge no RCT has directly compared magnetic sphincter augmentation with laparoscopic fundoplication. Second, there are limited long-term data concerning the safety of augmentation and the incidence of device-related erosions.

### Discussion

GERD is one of the most common chronic diseases globally and is associated with reduced health-related quality of life and a risk of serious complications. The clinical management of GERD strongly influences the lives of many patients and has substantial implications for health care and society. Typical GERD symptoms relieved by PPI treatment are often sufficient to determine the diagnosis. Except for lifestyle recommendations, the primary treatment option is PPI medication. Fundoplication may be considered in select cases but conducted only after objective investigations confirm GERD. New endoscopic and minimally invasive techniques are emerging, but these have not yet demonstrated long-term safety and efficacy.

### Limitations

This review has several limitations. First, the broad scope of GERD, as well as the broad clinical and pathologic perspectives, makes a comprehensive review challenging. Therefore, the review is focused on aspects of relevance for clinicians, such as clinical management. Second, because of the quantity of literature published on GERD, the current review has maintained its focus on key references only.

### Conclusions

The clinical management of GERD influences the lives of many individuals and is responsible for substantial consumption of health care and societal resources. Treatments include lifestyle modification, PPI-medication, and laparoscopic fundoplication. New endoscopic and less invasive surgical procedures are evolving. PPI use remains the dominant treatment, but long-term therapy requires follow-up and reevaluation for potential adverse effects.

## ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: October 12, 2020.

**Author Contributions:** Dr Lagergren had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: All authors.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: All

Drafting of the manuscript: Maret-Ouda, Markar. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Markar, Lagergren. Statistical analysis: Maret-Ouda. Obtained funding: Lagergren.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Maret-Ouda.

Supervision: Markar, Lagergren.

2544

**Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** No disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: Dr Maret-Ouda was supported by the Centre for Clinical Research Sormland. Dr Markar was supported by the National Institute for Health Research. Dr Lagergren was supported by the Distinguished Professor Award at Karolinska Institutet and the United European Gastroenterology (UEG) Research Prize.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

**Submissions:** We encourage authors to submit papers for consideration as a Review. Please contact Edward Livingston, MD, at Edward. livingston@jamanetwork.org or Mary McGrae McDermott, MD, at mdm608@northwestern.edu.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Vakil N, van Zanten SV, Kahrilas P, Dent J, Jones R; Global Consensus Group. The Montreal definition and classification of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a global evidence-based consensus. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2006;101(8):1900-1920. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2006.00630.x
- 2. Richter JE, Rubenstein JH. Presentation and epidemiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2018;154(2):267-276. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2017.07.045
- 3. Eusebi LH, Ratnakumaran R, Yuan Y, Solaymani-Dodaran M, Bazzoli F, Ford AC. Global prevalence of, and risk factors for, gastro-oesophageal reflux symptoms:

- a meta-analysis. *Gut*. 2018;67(3):430-440. doi:10. 1136/gutjnl-2016-313589
- **4.** Scida S, Russo M, Miraglia C, et al. Relationship between *Helicobacter pylori* infection and GERD. *Acta Biomed*. 2018;89(8-S):40-43.
- 5. El-Serag HB, Sweet S, Winchester CC, Dent J. Update on the epidemiology of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review. *Gut*. 2014;63 (6):871-880. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2012-304269
- **6.** Kulig M, Leodolter A, Vieth M, et al. Quality of life in relation to symptoms in patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: an analysis based on the ProGERD initiative. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2003;18(8):767-776. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2036.2003.01770.x
- 7. Becher A, El-Serag H. Systematic review: the association between symptomatic response to proton pump inhibitors and health-related quality of life in patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2011;34(6):618-627. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2036.2011.04774.x
- 8. Savarino E, Bredenoord AJ, Fox M, Pandolfino JE, Roman S, Gyawali CP; International Working Group for Disorders of Gastrointestinal Motility and Function. Expert consensus document: advances in the physiological assessment and diagnosis of

JAMA December 22/29, 2020 Volume 324, Number 24

jama.com

GERD. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2017;14(11): 665-676. doi:10.1038/nrgastro.2017.130

- 9. Mikami DJ, Murayama KM. Physiology and pathogenesis of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Surg Clin North Am. 2015;95(3):515-525. doi:10. 1016/j.suc.2015.02.006
- **10**. Poddar U. Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) in children. *Paediatr Int Child Health*. 2019; 39(1):7-12. doi:10.1080/20469047.2018.1489649
- 11. Jacobson BC, Somers SC, Fuchs CS, Kelly CP, Camargo CA Jr. Body-mass index and symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux in women. *N Engl J Med*. 2006;354(22):2340-2348. doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa054391
- 12. Kaltenbach T, Crockett S, Gerson LB. Are lifestyle measures effective in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease? an evidence-based approach. *Arch Intern Med.* 2006; 166(9):965-971. doi:10.1001/archinte.166.9.965
- **13.** Mohammed I, Cherkas LF, Riley SA, Spector TD, Trudgill NJ. Genetic influences in gastrooesophageal reflux disease: a twin study. *Gut*. 2003;52(8):1085-1089. doi:10.1136/gut.52.8.1085
- **14.** Cameron AJ, Lagergren J, Henriksson C, Nyren O, Locke GR III, Pedersen NL. Gastroesophageal reflux disease in monozygotic and dizygotic twins. *Gastroenterology*. 2002;122(1):55-59. doi:10.1053/gast.2002.30301
- **15.** Böhmer AC, Schumacher J. Insights into the genetics of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and GERD-related disorders. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*. 2017;29(2):1-5. doi:10.1111/nmo.13017
- **16.** Patel A, Hasak S, Nix BD, Sayuk GS, Newberry RD, Gyawali CP. Genetic risk factors for perception of symptoms in GERD: an observational cohort study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2018;47(2):289-297. doi:10.1111/apt.14414
- 17. Sugimoto M, Murata M, Mizuno H, et al. Endoscopic reflux esophagitis and reflux-related symptoms after *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy: meta-analysis. *J Clin Med*. 2020;9(9):E3007. doi:10.3390/jcm9093007
- **18**. Katz PO, Gerson LB, Vela MF. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2013;108(3): 308-328. doi:10.1038/ajg.2012.444
- **19.** Sidhwa F, Moore A, Alligood E, Fisichella PM. Diagnosis and treatment of the extraesophageal manifestations of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Ann Surg.* 2017;265(1):63-67. doi:10.1097/SLA. 00000000000001907
- **20**. Kellerman R, Kintanar T. Gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Prim Care*. 2017;44(4):561-573. doi: 10.1016/j.pop.2017.07.001
- 21. Johnston BT. Oesophageal dysphagia: a stepwise approach to diagnosis and management. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2017;2(8):604-609. doi:10.1016/52468-1253(17)30001-8
- 22. Kahrilas PJ, Shaheen NJ, Vaezi MF, et al; American Gastroenterological Association. American Gastroenterological Association medical position statement on the management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2008;135(4):1383-1391, 1391.e1-1391.e5. doi:10.1053/ i.gastro.2008.08.045
- **23**. Revicki DA, Wood M, Maton PN, Sorensen S. The impact of gastroesophageal reflux disease on

- health-related quality of life. *Am J Med*. 1998;104 (3):252-258. doi:10.1016/S0002-9343(97)00354-9
- 24. Hansen AN, Bergheim R, Fagertun H, Lund H, Wiklund I, Moum B. Long-term management of patients with symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a Norwegian randomised prospective study comparing the effects of esomeprazole and ranitidine treatment strategies on health-related quality of life in a general practitioners setting. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2006;60(1):15-22. doi:10.1111/j.1368-5031.2006.00768.x
- 25. Ness-Jensen E, Gottlieb-Vedi E, Wahlin K, Lagergren J. All-cause and cancer-specific mortality in GORD in a population-based cohort study (the HUNT study). *Gut*. 2018;67(2):209-215. doi:10. 1136/gutjnl-2016-312514
- **26**. Ronkainen J, Aro P, Storskrubb T, et al. High prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux symptoms and esophagitis with or without symptoms in the general adult Swedish population: a Kalixanda study report. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2005;40(3): 275-285. doi:10.1080/00365520510011579
- **27**. Zagari RM, Fuccio L, Wallander MA, et al. Gastro-oesophageal reflux symptoms, oesophagitis and Barrett's oesophagus in the general population: the Loiano-Monghidoro study. *Gut*. 2008;57(10): 1354-1359. doi:10.1136/gut.2007.145177
- 28. Armstrong D, Bennett JR, Blum AL, et al. The endoscopic assessment of esophagitis: a progress report on observer agreement. *Gastroenterology*. 1996;111(1):85-92. doi:10.1053/gast.1996.v111. pm8698230
- **29**. Lundell LR, Dent J, Bennett JR, et al. Endoscopic assessment of oesophagitis: clinical and functional correlates and further validation of the Los Angeles classification. *Gut.* 1999;45(2):172-180. doi:10.1136/gut.45.2.172
- **30**. Pregun I, Hritz I, Tulassay Z, Herszényi L. Peptic esophageal stricture: medical treatment. *Dig Dis*. 2009;27(1):31-37. doi:10.1159/000210101
- **31.** Poincloux L, Rouquette O, Abergel A. Endoscopic treatment of benign esophageal strictures: a literature review. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2017;11(1):53-64. doi:10.1080/17474124.2017.1260002
- **32**. Spechler SJ, Souza RF. Barrett's esophagus. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371(9):836-845. doi:10.1056/ NEJMra1314704
- **33.** Hayeck TJ, Kong CY, Spechler SJ, Gazelle GS, Hur C. The prevalence of Barrett's esophagus in the US: estimates from a simulation model confirmed by SEER data. *Dis Esophagus*. 2010;23(6):451-457. doi:10.1111/j.1442-2050.2010.01054.x
- **34.** Eusebi LH, Cirota GG, Zagari RM, Ford AC. Global prevalence of Barrett's oesophagus and oesophageal cancer in individuals with gastro-oesophageal reflux: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gut*. Published online July 30, 2020. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2020-321365
- **35.** Singh S, Manickam P, Amin AV, et al. Incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma in Barrett's esophagus with low-grade dysplasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 2014;79(6):897-909.e4. doi:10.1016/j.gie.2014.01. 009
- **36**. Krishnamoorthi R, Singh S, Ragunathan K, et al. Factors associated with progression of Barrett's esophagus: a systematic review and meta-analysis.

- Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018;16(7):1046-1055.e8. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2017.11.044
- **37**. Bennett C, Moayyedi P, Corley DA, et al; BOB CAT Consortium. BOB CAT: a large-scale review and Delphi consensus for management of Barrett's esophagus with no dysplasia, indefinite for, or low-grade dysplasia. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2015;110(5):662-682. doi:10.1038/ajg.2015.55
- **38.** Spechler SJ, Sharma P, Souza RF, Inadomi JM, Shaheen NJ; American Gastroenterological Association. American Gastroenterological Association medical position statement on the management of Barrett's esophagus. *Gastroenterology*. 2011;140(3):1084-1091. doi:10. 1053/j.gastro.2011.01.031
- **39.** Fitzgerald RC, di Pietro M, Ragunath K, et al; British Society of Gastroenterology. British Society of Gastroenterology guidelines on the diagnosis and management of Barrett's oesophagus. *Gut*. 2014;63(1):7-42. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2013-305372
- **40**. Spechler SJ. Barrett esophagus and risk of esophageal cancer: a clinical review. *JAMA*. 2013; 310(6):627-636. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.226450
- **41.** Lagergren J, Bergström R, Lindgren A, Nyrén O. Symptomatic gastroesophageal reflux as a risk factor for esophageal adenocarcinoma. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;340(11):825-831. doi:10.1056/NEJM199903183401101
- **42**. Coleman HG, Xie SH, Lagergren J. The epidemiology of esophageal adenocarcinoma. *Gastroenterology*. 2018;154(2):390-405. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2017.07.046
- **43**. Gavin AT, Francisci S, Foschi R, et al; EUROCARE-4 Working Group. Oesophageal cancer survival in Europe: a EUROCARE-4 study. *Cancer Epidemiol*. 2012;36(6):505-512. doi:10.1016/j.canep. 2012.07009
- **44.** Njei B, McCarty TR, Birk JW. Trends in esophageal cancer survival in United States adults from 1973 to 2009: a SEER database analysis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2016;31(6):1141-1146. doi: 10.1111/jgh.13289
- **45**. Launoy G, Bossard N, Castro C, Manfredi S; GRELL EUROCARE-5 Working Group. Trends in net survival from esophageal cancer in six European Latin countries: results from the SUDCAN population-based study. *Eur J Cancer Prev.* 2017;26: S24-S31. doi:10.1097/CEJ.00000000000000308
- **46**. Lagergren J, Lagergren P. Recent developments in esophageal adenocarcinoma. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2013;63(4):232-248. doi:10.3322/caac.21185
- **47**. Hu Q, Sun TT, Hong J, Fang JY, Xiong H, Meltzer SJ. Proton pump inhibitors do not reduce the risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma in patients with Barrett's esophagus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(1):e0169691. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169691
- **48**. Jankowski JAZ, de Caestecker J, Love SB, et al; AspECT Trial Team. Esomeprazole and aspirin in Barrett's oesophagus (AspECT): a randomised factorial trial. *Lancet*. 2018;392(10145):400-408. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31388-6
- **49**. Maret-Ouda J, Santoni G, Wahlin K, et al. Esophageal adenocarcinoma after antireflux surgery in a cohort study from the 5 Nordic countries. *Ann Surg*. Published online November 27, 2019. doi:10.1097/SLA.0000000000003709

- **50**. Maret-Ouda J, Konings P, Lagergren J, Brusselaers N. Antireflux surgery and risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Surg.* 2016;263(2):251-257. doi:10.1097/SLA.0000000000001438
- **51.** Markar SR, Arhi C, Leusink A, et al. The influence of antireflux surgery on esophageal cancer risk in England: national population-based cohort study. *Ann Surg.* 2018;268(5):861-867. doi: 10.1097/SLA.00000000000002890
- **52.** Stefanidis D, Hope WW, Kohn GP, Reardon PR, Richardson WS, Fanelli RD; SAGES Guidelines Committee. Guidelines for surgical treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Surg Endosc.* 2010;24(11):2647-2669. doi:10.1007/s00464-010-1267-8
- **53**. National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease and dyspepsia in adults: investigation and management. 2019.
- **54.** Spechler SJA. A 59-year-old woman with gastroesophageal reflux disease and Barrett esophagus. *JAMA*. 2003;289(4):466-475. doi:10.1001/jama.289.4.466
- **55.** Patel A, Posner S, Gyawali CP. Esophageal high-resolution manometry in gastroesophageal reflux disease. *JAMA*. 2018;320(12):1279-1280. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.8694
- **56**. Gyawali CP, Kahrilas PJ, Savarino E, et al. Modern diagnosis of GERD: the Lyon Consensus. *Gut*. 2018;67(7):1351-1362. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2017-314722
- **57**. Ness-Jensen E, Lagergren J. Tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol*. 2017;31(5):501-508. doi:10.1016/j.bpg.2017.09.004
- **58**. Ness-Jensen E, Hveem K, El-Serag H, Lagergren J. Lifestyle intervention in gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2016;14(2):175-182
- **59**. Zalvan CH, Hu S, Greenberg B, Geliebter J. A comparison of alkaline water and Mediterranean diet vs proton pump inhibition for treatment of laryngopharyngeal reflux. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2017;143(10):1023-1029. doi:10.1001/jamaoto.2017.1454
- **60**. Rotman SR, Bishop TF. Proton pump inhibitor use in the US ambulatory setting, 2002-2009. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(2):e56060. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0056060
- **61**. Bustillos H, Leer K, Kitten A, Reveles KR. A cross-sectional study of national outpatient gastric acid suppressant prescribing in the United States between 2009 and 2015. *PLoS One*. 2018;13 (11):e0208461. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0208461
- **62**. Pratt NL, Kalisch Ellett LM, Sluggett JK, et al. Use of proton pump inhibitors among older Australians: national quality improvement programmes have led to sustained practice change. *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2017;29(1):75-82. doi:10. 1093/intqhc/mzw138
- **63**. Nishtala PS, Soo L. Proton pump inhibitors utilisation in older people in New Zealand from 2005 to 2013. *Intern Med J.* 2015;45(6):624-629. doi:10.1111/imj.12757
- **64**. Proulx J, Hunt J. Drug use among seniors on public drug programs in Canada, 2012. *Healthc Q*. 2015;18(1):11-13. doi:10.12927/hcq.2015.24250
- **65**. Graham DY, Tansel A. Interchangeable use of proton pump inhibitors based on relative potency.

2546

- *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2018;16(6):800-808.e7. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2017.09.033
- **66**. Pottegård A, Broe A, Hallas J, de Muckadell OB, Lassen AT, Lødrup AB. Use of proton-pump inhibitors among adults: a Danish nationwide drug utilization study. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol*. 2016;9 (5):671-678. doi:10.1177/1756283X16650156
- **67**. Hálfdánarson OO, Pottegård A, Björnsson ES, et al. Proton-pump inhibitors among adults: a nationwide drug-utilization study. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol*. 2018;11:1756284818777943. doi:10. 1177/1756284818777943
- **68.** Freedberg DE, Kim LS, Yang YX. The risks and benefits of long-term use of proton pump inhibitors: expert review and best practice advice from the American Gastroenterological Association. *Gastroenterology.* 2017;152(4):706-715. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2017.01.031
- **69**. Pasternak B, Hviid A. Use of proton-pump inhibitors in early pregnancy and the risk of birth defects. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363(22):2114-2123. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1002689
- **70.** Majithia R, Johnson DA. Are proton pump inhibitors safe during pregnancy and lactation? evidence to date. *Drugs*. 2012;72(2):171-179. doi:10. 2165/11597290-000000000-00000
- **71.** Patel A, Gyawali CP. Gastroesophageal reflux monitoring. *JAMA*. 2018;319(12):1271-1272. doi:10. 1001/jama.2018.1144
- **72.** Moayyedi P, Eikelboom JW, Bosch J, et al; COMPASS Investigators. Safety of proton pump inhibitors based on a large, multi-year, randomized trial of patients receiving rivaroxaban or aspirin. *Gastroenterology*. 2019;157(3):682-691.e2. doi:10. 1053/j.gastro.2019.05.056
- **73.** Nochaiwong S, Ruengorn C, Awiphan R, et al. The association between proton pump inhibitor use and the risk of adverse kidney outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2018;33(2):331-342. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfw470
- 74. Hart E, Dunn TE, Feuerstein S, Jacobs DM. Proton pump inhibitors and risk of acute and chronic kidney disease: a retrospective cohort study. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2019;39(4):443-453. doi: 10.1002/phar.2235
- **75.** Cao F, Chen CX, Wang M, et al. Updated meta-analysis of controlled observational studies: proton-pump inhibitors and risk of *Clostridium difficile* infection. *J Hosp Infect*. 2018;98(1):4-13. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2017.08.017
- **76.** Nguyen PA, Islam M, Galvin CJ, et al. Meta-analysis of proton pump inhibitors induced risk of community-acquired pneumonia. *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2020;32(5):292-299. doi:10.1093/intqhc/mzaa041
- 77. Mortensen SJ, Mohamadi A, Wright CL, et al. Medications as a risk factor for fragility hip fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Calcif Tissue Int*. 2020;107(1):1-9. doi:10.1007/s00223-020-00688-1
- **78**. Brusselaers N, Lagergren J, Engstrand L. Duration of use of proton pump inhibitors and the risk of gastric and oesophageal cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol*. 2019;62:101585. doi:10.1016/j.canep.2019.101585
- **79**. Cheung KS, Chan EW, Wong AYS, Chen L, Wong ICK, Leung WK. Long-term proton pump inhibitors and risk of gastric cancer development after

- treatment for *Helicobacter pylori*: a population-based study. *Gut*. 2018;67(1):28-35. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2017-314605
- **80**. Liu P, McMenamin UC, Johnston BT, et al. Use of proton pump inhibitors and histamine-2 receptor antagonists and risk of gastric cancer in two population-based studies. *Br J Cancer*. 2020;123(2): 307-315. doi:10.1038/s41416-020-0860-4
- **81.** Lee JK, Merchant SA, Schneider JL, et al. Proton pump inhibitor use and risk of gastric, colorectal, liver, and pancreatic cancers in a community-based population. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2020;115(5):706-715. doi:10.14309/ajg.00000000000000591
- **82.** Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine. Levels of evidence. Accessed June 17, 2020. https://www.cebm.net/2009/06/oxford-centre-evidence-based-medicine-levels-evidence-march-2009/
- **83.** Food and Drug Administration. FDA drug safety communication: *Clostridium difficile* associated diarrhea can be associated with stomach acid drugs known as proton pump inhibitors (PPIs). Accessed June 15, 2020. https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drugsafety-and-availability/fda-drug-safety-communication-clostridium-difficile-associated-diarrhea-can-be-associated-stomach
- 84. Food and Drug Administration. FDA drug safety communication: possible increased risk of fractures of the hip, wrist, and spine with the use of proton pump inhibitors. Accessed June 18, 2020. https://www.fda.gov/drugs/postmarket-drug-safety-information-patients-and-providers/fdadrug-safety-communication-possible-increased-risk-fractures-hip-wrist-and-spine-use-proton-pump
- **85**. Helgadottir H, Bjornsson ES. Problems associated with deprescribing of proton pump inhibitors. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2019;20(21):5469. doi:10. 3390/ijms20215469
- **86**. Targownik L. Discontinuing long-term PPI therapy: why, with whom, and how? *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2018;113(4):519-528. doi:10.1038/ajg. 2018 29
- **87.** Gyawali CP, Fass R. Management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2018;154(2):302-318. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2017.07.
- **88.** Food and Drug Administration. FDA requests removal of all ranitidine products (Zantac) from the market. Accessed May 31, 2020. https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fdarequests-removal-all-ranitidine-products-zantac-market
- **89**. European Medicines Agency. Suspension of ranitidine medicines in the EU. Accessed May 31, 2020. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/suspension-ranitidine-medicines-eu
- **90**. Leiman DA, Riff BP, Morgan S, et al. Alginate therapy is effective treatment for GERD symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Dis Esophagus*. 2017;30(5):1-9. doi:10.1093/dote/dow020
- **91.** Vaezi MF, Fass R, Vakil N, et al. IW-3718 reduces heartburn severity in patients with refractory gastroesophageal reflux disease in a randomized trial. *Gastroenterology*. 2020;158(8):2093-2103. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2020.02.031
- **92.** Nissen R. [A simple operation for control of reflux esophagitis]. *Schweiz Med Wochenschr*. 1956; 86(suppl 20):590-592.

- 93. Garg SK, Gurusamy KS. Laparoscopic fundoplication surgery versus medical management for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD) in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;(11):CD003243. doi:10.1002/14651858. CD003243.pub3
- 94. Håkanson BS, Lundell L, Bylund A, Thorell A. Comparison of laparoscopic 270° posterior partial fundoplication vs total fundoplication for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Surg. 2019;154(6): 479-486. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2019.0047
- 95. Maret-Ouda J, Brusselaers N, Lagergren J. What is the most effective treatment for severe gastro-oesophageal reflux disease? BMJ. 2015;350: h3169. doi:10.1136/bmj.h3169
- 96. Galmiche JP, Hatlebakk J, Attwood S, et al; LOTUS Trial Collaborators. Laparoscopic antireflux surgery vs esomeprazole treatment for chronic GERD: the LOTUS randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2011;305(19):1969-1977. doi:10.1001/jama.2011.626
- 97. Yadlapati R, Hungness ES, Pandolfino JE. Complications of antireflux surgery. Am J Gastroenterol. 2018;113(8):1137-1147. doi:10.1038/ s41395-018-0115-7
- 98. Maret-Ouda J, Wahlin K, El-Serag HB, Lagergren J. Association between laparoscopic antireflux surgery and recurrence of gastroesophageal reflux. JAMA. 2017;318(10):939-946. doi:10.1001/jama.2017.10981
- 99. Funch-Jensen P. Bendixen A. Iversen MG. Kehlet H. Complications and frequency of redo antireflux surgery in Denmark: a nationwide study, 1997-2005. Surg Endosc. 2008;22(3):627-630. doi: 10.1007/s00464-007-9705-y
- 100. Zhou T, Harnsberger C, Broderick R, et al. Reoperation rates after laparoscopic fundoplication. Surg Endosc. 2015;29(3):510-514. doi:10.1007/s00464-014-3660-1
- 101. Patti MG. Allaix ME. Fisichella PM. Analysis of the causes of failed antireflux surgery and the principles of treatment: a review. JAMA Surg. 2015; 150(6):585-590. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2014.3859

- 102. Richards WO, Scholz S, Khaitan L, Sharp KW, Holzman MD. Initial experience with the Stretta procedure for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A. 2001;11(5):267-273. doi:10.1089/ 109264201317054546
- 103. Triadafilopoulos G. Stretta: a valuable endoscopic treatment modality for gastroesophageal reflux disease. World J Gastroenterol. 2014;20(24):7730-7738. doi:10.3748/ wjg.v20.i24.7730
- 104. Fass R, Cahn F, Scotti DJ, Gregory DA. Systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled and prospective cohort efficacy studies of endoscopic radiofrequency for treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Surg Endosc. 2017; 31(12):4865-4882. doi:10.1007/s00464-017-5431-2
- 105. Cadière GB, Rajan A, Germay O, Himpens J. Endoluminal fundoplication by a transoral device for the treatment of GERD: a feasibility study. Surg Endosc. 2008;22(2):333-342. doi:10.1007/s00464-007-9618-9
- 106. Jobe BA, O'Rourke RW, McMahon BP, et al. Transoral endoscopic fundoplication in the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease: the anatomic and physiologic basis for reconstruction of the esophagogastric junction using a novel device. Ann Surg. 2008;248(1):69-76. doi:10.1097/ SLA.0b013e31817c9630
- 107. Testoni PA, Testoni S, Distefano G, Mazzoleni G, Fanti L, Passaretti S. Transoral incisionless fundoplication with EsophyX for gastroesophageal reflux disease: clinical efficacy is maintained up to 10 years. Endosc Int Open. 2019;7(5):E647-E654. doi:10.1055/a-0820-2297
- 108. Trad KS, Barnes WE, Simoni G, et al. Transoral incisionless fundoplication effective in eliminating GERD symptoms in partial responders to proton pump inhibitor therapy at 6 months: the TEMPO randomized clinical trial. Surg Innov. 2015;22(1):26-40. doi:10.1177/1553350614526788
- 109. Trad KS, Barnes WE, Prevou ER, et al. The TEMPO trial at 5 years: transoral fundoplication (TIF 2.0) is safe, durable, and cost-effective. Surg Innov. 2018;25(2):149-157. doi:10.1177/1553350618755214

- 110. Witteman BP. Conchillo JM. Rinsma NF. et al. Randomized controlled trial of transoral incisionless fundoplication vs proton pump inhibitors for treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Am J Gastroenterol. 2015;110(4):531-542. doi:10. 1038/ajg.2015.28
- 111. Ganz RA, Peters JH, Horgan S, et al. Esophageal sphincter device for gastroesophageal reflux disease. N Engl J Med. 2013;368(8):719-727. doi:10. 1056/NEJMoa1205544
- 112. Bonavina L, Saino GI, Bona D, et al. Magnetic augmentation of the lower esophageal sphincter: results of a feasibility clinical trial. J Gastrointest Surg. 2008;12(12):2133-2140. doi:10.1007/s11605-008-0698-1
- 113. Zadeh J, Andreoni A, Treitl D, Ben-David K. Spotlight on the Lin Reflux Management System for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease: evidence and research. Med Devices (Auckl). 2018; 11:291-300.
- 114. Kuckelman JP, Phillips CJ, Hardin MO, Martin MJ. Standard vs expanded indications for esophageal magnetic sphincter augmentation for reflux disease. JAMA Surg. 2017;152(9):890-891. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2017.1606
- 115. Lipham JC, Taiganides PA, Louie BE, Ganz RA, DeMeester TR. Safety analysis of first 1000 patients treated with magnetic sphincter augmentation for gastroesophageal reflux disease. Dis Esophagus. 2015;28(4):305-311. doi:10.1111/ dote.12199
- 116. Bell R, Lipham J, Louie B, et al Laparoscopic magnetic sphincter augmentation versus double-dose proton pump inhibitors for management of moderate-to-severe regurgitation in GFRD: a randomized controlled trial. Gastrointest Endosc. 2019;89(1):14-22.e11.
- 117. Guidozzi N, Wiggins T, Ahmed AR, Hanna GB, Markar SR. Laparoscopic magnetic sphincter augmentation versus fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux disease: systematic review and pooled analysis. Dis Esophagus. 2019;32(9): doz031. doi:10.1093/dote/doz031